

PROFESSIONAL GAME MATCH OFFICIALS LIMITED


Guide to Marking REFEREES for Assessors - Season **2009/2010**

<p>90 - 100 Outstanding Performance in a "very challenging" match</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A performance that was exceptional and rarely seen, even in a match classified by the assessor as "very challenging". • Standard of control and Law application was of the highest order with all key match incidents judged correctly. • Disciplinary control was consistent, credible and wholly correct. • All technical supporting competencies, including advantage application, teamwork, work rate and positioning were of the highest quality.
<p>80 – 89 Outstanding Performance in "challenging" match</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A performance that was exceptional and rarely seen, exactly as above, but in a match classified by the assessor as "challenging."
<p>74 - 79 Commendable Performance</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Standard of control and Law application satisfied all criteria in detailed analysis with all key match incidents judged correctly. • Disciplinary control was consistent and credible. • All supporting competencies were of a high quality and well above the expected standard performance of referees at this level of officiating.
<p>70 - 73 Expected Performance</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No corrective advice on match control or Law application required. • The expected standard of control and Law application shown were consistent and credible. • All key match incidents were judged correctly. • Player management, disciplinary control and other technical competencies were of the expected standard. • Where some minor decision-making accuracy might need to be questioned, relevant advice should be offered.
<p>55 - 69 Below an Expected Performance</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A performance which fell below the expectation for officiating at this level. • Control may have been generally credible but not always consistent. • Errors identified in Law application and / or judgment of offences, have been recorded in the report. • Consequent advice on player management, disciplinary control and other technical competencies for suggested development must also be included in the report. • All key match incidents must be judged correctly for the mark to be 60 or above.
<p>40 - 54 Disappointing Performance</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A performance that was well below the standard of officiating expected at this level. • This was as a result of errors on key match incidents or where Law application and / or control require corrective action for the future. • It may also be a reflection of other technical competencies generally not matching the standard expected. • Clear advice and / or areas for improvement will be required to be detailed in the report.
<p>30 - 39 Poor and Unacceptable Performance</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A performance that was significantly below the standard of officiating expected at this level. • There were definitely errors in the accuracy of decision-making and / or inconsistent Law application. • Control or level of involvement did not match the temperature of the game. Also, any significant technical deficiencies identified require the utmost attention for the future. • Key errors noted by the assessor have weakened performance credibility. • This type of performance will require clear, strong and forthright advice being given to be acted upon.

PROFESSIONAL GAME MATCH OFFICIALS LIMITED

Guide to Marking ASSISTANT REFEREES for Assessors - Season 2009/2010

90 - 100 Outstanding Performance in " very challenging " match	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A performance that was exceptional and rarely seen even in a match classified by the assessor as "very challenging". • All offside judgments were interpreted correctly and signalled appropriately. • Any key situations were judged correctly. • Awareness and support were of the highest standard. • Excellent mobility, including sprinting ability ensured correct positioning at all times. • All signals were given with confidence and assurance. • Player management was of the highest order. • Seamless team working throughout .
80 – 89 Outstanding Performance in " challenging " match	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A performance that was exceptional and rarely seen, exactly as above, in a match classified by the assessor as "challenging".
74 - 79 Commendable Performance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A performance that was commendable and achieved a very high standard. • All key situations were judged correctly. • The high standard of support and assistance given to the referee were well above the norm for an assistant referee at this level. All other competencies were of a similar high standard. • No corrective advice is therefore required.
70-73 Expected Performance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A performance expected of assistant referees officiating at this level. • All offside judgments were correct, consistent and credible. • All key match situations were judged correctly and an appropriate level of support given to the referee throughout. • Where any minor technical competencies are questioned by the assessor, relevant advice must be given.
55 - 69 Below an Expected Performance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A performance which fell below the expectation for officiating at this level. • Most offside judgments were credible but not all. • Errors identified in Law application and judgment of offences, have been recorded in the report. • Consequent advice on accuracy of judgments and / or areas for improvement must also be included in the report. • All key match incidents must be judged correctly for the mark to be 60 or above.
40 - 54 Disappointing Performance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A performance that was well below the expected standard at this level of officiating. • This was as a result of errors on key match incidents and / or where Law application requires corrective action for the future. • The interpretation of offside situations was not consistent, correct or credible. • Technical competencies were not up to the expected standard at this level of officiating and require corrective advice in the report. • Clear advice and / or areas for improvement will be required to be detailed in the report.
30 - 39 Poor and Unacceptable Performance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A performance that was significantly below the standard of officiating expected at this level. • There were definitely errors of judgment in the accuracy of decision making, particularly in relation to key match incidents. • A poor level of support for the referee and / or significant deficiencies in other competencies that have weakened performance credibility. • This type of performance will require clear, strong and forthright advice being given to be acted upon.



Keith Hackett
General Manager
Professional Game Match Officials Ltd
05/09